1

2

3

4

5

9

6

7

8

9

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

15

17

19

18

20

FILED IN THE
U.S. DISTRICT COURT
FASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON

Jul 01, 2024

SEAN F. McAVOY, CLERK

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, No. 1:22-CR-02111-MKD

Defendant.

Plaintiff, ORDER DENYING DEFENDANT'S

MOTION TO DISMISS

INDICTMENT

ECF No. 40

Before the Court is Defendant's Motion to Dismiss Indictment, ECF No. 40. At Defendant's request, the Court stayed determination of this Motion pending the Supreme Court's decision in *United States v. Rahimi*. ECF Nos. 67, 77, 91. The

Supreme Court's decision in *United States v. Rahimi*. ECF Nos. 67, 77, 91. The

Court directed the parties to file a status report on their respective positions within

seven days of the issuance of a ruling in Rahimi. ECF No. 102 at 2; see also ECF

No. 93 at 3.

v.

BENJAMIN D. CLIETT,

On June 21, 2024, the Supreme Court issued its ruling in *Rahimi*, 602

U.S. ___, 2024 WL 3074728 (2024). The United States filed a status report that day,

contending that the *Rahimi* decision is controlling and requires denial of the Motion

ORDER - 1

Case 1:22-cr-02111-MKD	ECE No. 106	filed 07/01/2/	PageID 79/	Page 2 of 3
Case 1.22-CI-UZIIITININD	LCL NO. 100	111CU 01/01/24	FauciD.134	raut 4 UI 4

to Dismiss. ECF No. 105. To date, Defendant has not filed a status report 1 addressing Rahimi. 2 The Court has reviewed the briefing and concludes that the basis for 3 Defendant's Motion to Dismiss—whether 18 U.S.C. § 922(g)(8) is consistent with 4 the Second Amendment—is controlled by *Rahimi*. The argument is foreclosed by 5 the Supreme Court's ruling. The Court denies the Motion to Dismiss. 6 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED: 7 Defendant's Motion to Dismiss Indictment, ECF No. 40, is DENIED. 1. 8 IT IS SO ORDERED. The Clerk's Office is directed to enter this Order and 9 provide copies to all counsel. 10 **DATED** July 1, 2024. 11 12 s/Mary K. Dimke UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 13 14 15 16 17 18 19

20